



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,280		10/20/2000	Paul Lapstun	NPA040US	7428
24011	7590	07/09/2003			
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041				EXAMINER	
				TRAN, DALENA	
AUSTRA	LIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3661	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u></u> 0
ι.		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/693,280	LAPSTUN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dalena Tran	3661	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the c rrespondence address	
THE II - Exter - after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . I reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 June 2003		
کار≀ [](2a		This action is non-final.		•
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	·	ters prosecution as to the merits in	e
, —	closed in accordance with the practice uno			,
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	·		
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u></u>		
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
40)□:	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
• —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
) \square The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and To	redemark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/693,280

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Agnew et al. (6,304,819) in view of Heuwieser et al. (4,668,858).

As per claim 1, Agnew et al. disclose a method of enabling a user to plan a route using a computer system, including steps: printing a map of a geographic area, the map including a plurality of geographic locations and coded data indicative of an identity of the map of a plurality of references points of the map (see columns 2-4, lines 56-23; and column 5, lines 1-24), receiving in the computer system indicating data from a sensing device operated by the user, the indicating data regarding the identity of the map and a position of the sensing device relative to the map, the sensing device, when placed in an operative position relative to the map, the sensing device sensing the coded data in the vicinity of a particular geographic location and generating the indicating data using at least some of the sensed coded data (see column 5, lines 1-24; columns 5-6, lines 43-43; column 8, lines 15-59; and columns 11-12, lines 39-34), and identifying in the computer system and from the indicating data, at least one geographic location

Application/Control Number: 09/693,280

Art Unit: 3661

(see the abstract; and column 7, lines 18-55). Agnew et al. do not disclose planning the route. However, Heuwieser et al. disclose planning the route, in the computer system, using the at least one geographic location as at least one of the route starting point, a route way point, and the route destination (see columns 1-2, lines 26-54; and column 3, lines 14-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Agnew et al. by combining planning the route, in the computer system, using the at least one geographic location as at least one of the route starting point, a route way point, and the route destination to assist driver to determine a travel route to a destination.

As per claim 2, Agnew et al. disclose printing a map covering at least part of the geographic area covered by the route (see columns 2-3, lines 56-22).

Claim 3 is system claim corresponding to method claims 1-2 above. Therefore, it is rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-8223. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM-5:30 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,280

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

/dt July 1, 2003